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In accordance with USP’s Rules and Procedures of the 2015-2020 Council of Experts ("Rules") and except as provided in Section 7.02 Accelerated Revision Processes, USP publishes proposed revisions to the United States Pharmacopeia and the National Formulary (USP–NF) for public review and comment in the Pharmacopeial Forum (PF), USP’s free bimonthly journal for public notice and comment. After comments are considered and incorporated as the Expert Committee deems appropriate, the proposal may advance to official status or be republished in PF for further notice and comment, in accordance with the Rules. In cases when proposals advance to official status without republication in PF, a summary of comments received and the appropriate Expert Committee’s responses are published in the Revisions and Commentary section of the USP Web site at the time the official revision is published.

The Commentary is not part of the official text and is not intended to be enforceable by regulatory authorities. Rather, it explains the basis of Expert Committees’ responses to public comments on proposed revisions. If there is a difference between the contents of the Commentary and the official text, the official text prevails. In case of a dispute or question of interpretation, the language of the official text, alone and independent of the Commentary, shall prevail.

For further information, contact:
USP Executive Secretariat
United States Pharmacopeia
12601 Twinbrook Parkway
Rockville, MD 20852-1790 USA
execsec@usp.org
Comments were received for the following IRAs, when they were proposed in *Pharmacopeial Forum*:

**Monograph/Section:** Anastrozole Tablets/Identification  
**Expert Committee:** Chemical Medicines Monographs 3  
**No. of Commenters:** 2

**Comment Summary #1:** The commenter requested that the final dilution with potassium bromide be included in the preparation of pellet for analysis in the proposed *Identification A*.

**Response:** Comment incorporated.

**Comment Summary #2:** The commenter indicated that the impurity profile is different from what has been approved by FDA.

**Response:** Comment not incorporated. The Expert Committee determined that the comment is outside the scope of the revision. A future revision to the monograph will be considered upon receipt of the supporting data.